National Survey of Student Engagement Executive Snapshot 2012
Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania

## Dear Colleague:

This document presents some key findings from your institution's participation in the 2012 National Survey of Student Engagement. We hope you can use this information to stimulate discussion on your campus about the undergraduate experience at Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania.

Sincerely,
Alexander C. McCormick
Director, National Survey of Student Engagement

## Deep Approaches to Learning

As we approach the launch of an updated NSSE survey, this year's edition of Annual Results revisits and replicates a collection of important findings from NSSE's first 13 years.

One notable finding involves Deep Approaches to Learning (DAL) which help students make richer, more lasting connections to material through an emphasis on activities such as higher-order learning, integration, and reflection (see the Director's Message on page 5, and Selected Results on page 10 of Annual Results ). Replicating an analysis from 2004, we found that students who participated in DAL at higher levels made more purposeful use of their time and were more engaged in other ways.

We thought you'd be interested to know your students' scores on this important measure. Table 1 at right compares your students' engagement in DAL with those enrolled at your selected NSSE 2012 comparison groups, with an indication of whether your students scored significantly higher (+) or lower (-) than the comparison group. (If no plus or minus sign is shown, the difference is not statistically significant.) Figure 1 illustrates how DAL relates to time spent preparing for class among your first-year students and seniors.

We are pleased to report that the Deep Approaches to Learning scale, including subscales of higher-order learning and reflective and integrative learning, is included in the updated NSSE survey. We hope you find these measures and other changes that have resulted from our research to be welcome additions in 2013.

Table 1. DAL Comparison Results ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | Comparison Groups |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $B U$ | PASSHE | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2012 |
| First-Year |  |  | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ |
| mean | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 57 | $60^{* * *}$ | $61^{* * *}$ |
| ES |  | -.08 | -.27 | -.30 |
| Senior |  |  |  |  |
| mean | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 65 | 66 | 66 |
| ES |  | .02 | -.04 | -.04 |

Figure 1. Class Preparation Time ${ }^{\text {b }}$ by DAL Score ${ }^{\text {c }}$
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## NSSE 2012 Question Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's overall benchmark scores. This section features the five questions on which your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students at the indicated comparison group (the group's members are listed in your NSSE 2012 Selected Comparison Groups report).

While we chose these questions to represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. We encourage you to review your NSSE Institutional Report 2012 for additional results of particular interest to your campus.

Highest Performing Benchmark Items Relative to PASSHE
Comparison Groups

Ques- Bench-

BU PASSHE | Carnegie |
| :---: |
| Class |$\quad$ NSSE 2012

tion mark ${ }^{1}$ Percentage of students who...
First-Year Students

| 2 e. | LAC | Said courses emphasized applying theories or concepts to new situations ${ }^{4}$ | 75\% | 71\% | 75\% | 76\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1h. | ACL | Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments ${ }^{2}$ | 42\% | 38\% | 43\% | 46\% |
| 8 c. | SCE | Positively rated their relationships with admin. personnel and offices ${ }^{3}$ | 59\% | 52\% | 62\% | 61\% |
| 10d. | SCE | Said the institution substantially helps students cope w/ non-acad. matters ${ }^{4}$ | 36\% | 30\% | 41\% | 41\% |
| 10e. | SCE | Said the institution provides substantial support for students' social needs ${ }^{4}$ | 49\% | 46\% | 51\% | 52\% |
| Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 c . | LAC | Wrote at least one paper or report of 20 pages or more | 53\% | 46\% | 48\% | 49\% |
| 1h. | ACL | Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments ${ }^{2}$ | 72\% | 56\% | 59\% | 60\% |
| 1 k . | ACL | Did a community-based project as part of a regular course ${ }^{2}$ | 24\% | 17\% | 21\% | 19\% |
| 1 s . | SFI | Worked with faculty members on activities other than coursework ${ }^{2}$ | 34\% | 24\% | 25\% | 24\% |
| 7 a. | EEE | Did a practicum, internship, field experience, clinical assignment | 61\% | 49\% | 49\% | 49\% |

The adjacent figure, based on the table above, displays the questions on which your students compared most favorably with those in your selected comparison group named:

## PASSHE

## First-Year Students



Lowest Performing Benchmark Items Relative to PASSHE
Comparison Groups

| Ques- <br> tion | Bench- <br> mark ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of students who... | $B U$ | PASSHE | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First-Year Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 e. | LAC | Wrote more than 10 papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages | 17\% | 23\% | 29\% | 29\% |
| 1 b . | ACL | Made a class presentation ${ }^{2}$ | 25\% | 33\% | 38\% | 36\% |
| 1v. | EEE | Had serious conversations w/ students of other relig./politics/values ${ }^{2}$ | 46\% | 56\% | 53\% | 55\% |
| 7 b . | EEE | Participated in community service or volunteer work | 31\% | 37\% | 38\% | 40\% |
| 9 d. | EEE | Spent more than 5 hours/week participating in co-curricular activities | 26\% | 33\% | 29\% | 32\% |
| Seniors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 d . | LAC | Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages | 41\% | 45\% | 46\% | 47\% |
| 1 g . | ACL | Worked with other students on projects during class ${ }^{2}$ | 47\% | 51\% | 53\% | 51\% |
| 1 t . | ACL | Discussed ideas from readings or classes with others outside of class ${ }^{2}$ | 59\% | 64\% | 66\% | 66\% |
| 1 u. | EEE | Had serious conversations w/ students of another race or ethnicity ${ }^{2}$ | 43\% | 54\% | 53\% | 55\% |
| 1v. | EEE | Had serious conversations w/ students of other relig./politics/values ${ }^{2}$ | 53\% | 56\% | 55\% | 56\% |

First-Year Students


Notes
${ }^{1}$ LAC=Level of Academic Challenge; ACL=Active and Collaborative Learning; SFI=Student-Faculty Interaction; EEE=Enriching Educational Experiences; SCE=Supportive Campus Environment
${ }^{2}$ Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often"
${ }^{3}$ Rated at least 5 on a 7 -point scale
${ }^{4}$ Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit"

## Respondent Characteristics

The adjacent table displays your number of respondents, response rate, and sampling error by class. Sampling error is an estimate of the margin by which the true percentage of your students may differ from the reported percentage on a given item (because not all of your students completed surveys).

|  |  | Resp. <br> Rate | Sampling <br> Error |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First-Year Students | 402 | $20 \%$ | $+/-4.4 \%$ |
| Seniors | 386 | $21 \%$ | $+/-4.4 \%$ |

## Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice

To represent the multi-dimensional nature of student engagement, NSSE developed five indicators of effective educational practice. These "benchmarks" are created from clusters of NSSE questions that best represent these practices.

The table below summarizes key benchmark results for your institution and institutions in your selected comparison groups. A '+' symbol indicates that your institution's score is higher than the comparison group and a '-' symbol indicates a lower score ( $p<.05$ ). A blank space indicates no significant difference. For additional details, review your NSSE 2012 Benchmark Comparisons report.

|  |  |  | Comparison Groups |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Class |  | PU | PASSHE | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2012

## For More Information

A comprehensive summary of all results is contained in your institutional report, which we sent in August to Sheila Jones, Office of Planning and Assessment. Reports used in this Executive Snapshot included the: NSSE 2012 Mean Comparisons, Frequency Distributions, Benchmark Comparisons, and Respondent Characteristics.


National Survey of Student Engagement

Phone: 812-856-5824
Email: nsse@indiana.edu Web: nsse.iub.edu


[^0]:    a. For details on the DAL scale including component items and how it is computed, visit nsse.iub.edu/html/creating_scales.cfm; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<.001$; $\mathrm{ES}=$ Cohen's $d$ effect size, where .2 is often considered small, .5 moderate, and .8 large.
    b. Adjusted for part-time students (see nsse.iub.edu/html/PT_adjustment.cfm).
    c. Your students were divided into quartiles, four groups of equal size based on their DAL scores.

