Making Student Learning Objectives Relevant and Transparent

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs), Goals, Outcomes: Is there a Difference?

Educators have difficulty reaching agreement on this question. As a rule, goals broadly state what a program or course wants to achieve e.g. mastery of content, problem-solving, and writing skills. Objectives define or describe specific skills at the course level. On the other hand, learning outcomes writes Linda Suskie "... describe how students will be different because of a learning experience. ... The knowledge, skills, attitudes, and habits of mind that students take with them from a learning experience."\(^1\) In short, what the desired results are going to be. Elizabeth Barkley and Claire Howell Major use this graphic to differentiate.\(^2\)

Kevin Kelly and Todd Zakrjasek have suggested recently in Advancing Online Teaching that the debate remains unresolved and simply speaks of outcomes. In writing outcomes, instructors need to know if they are writing program-level outcomes, that is upon earning a degree, or course-level outcomes. Program-level might be more broadly stated and be evaluated in a capstone project or assessed at different points across a program. At the course-level, not all program outcomes may be appropriate, and in fact a course might have outcomes that are not associated with the program yet may be associated with general education. When you see examples of student learning outcomes (SLOs), make yourself aware if it is addressing course- or program-level. Course-level SLOs tend to me to be more specific to the content. And SLOs at the unit-level or module level might be even more specific.

A case for Student-Centered SLOs

The language of a course-level SLO should always use action verbs from the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor domains (see below) that are “observable and actionable.” We make these SLOs more approachable to students if offer a detail that explains how the SLOs will be achieved. Consider this example from a course on the History of the Holocaust:

Students will **evaluate** primary and secondary sources to advance a plausible interpretation of how Germany created a racial state under the Nazis **through informal writing-to-learn exercises, discussion, and an essay.**

This SLO makes the student the subject of the sentence. **Evaluate** is at the highest cognitive level that can be assessed through a variety of assignments. **To help students achieve this outcome,** they will complete several learning and teaching activities including critical reading and discussion of sources, writing-to-learn exercises, and an essay. When this SLO was written for curriculum approval, it was slightly abbreviated to exclude the italicized passage. Student Assessment appeared separately in a three-column form in the “master syllabus” with wording that gave instructors’ choices.

Research on learning and motivation offers several reasons to make SLOs relevant and transparent. **First,** if we engage students in our subject matter in a positive emotional level, they are more likely to learn. L. Dee Fink, author of *Creating Significant Learning Experiences*, argues that mobilizing students’ affective domains by helping them discover ways to care about their course content or see its human dimensions will increase student engagement.

**Second,** motivation theories note that tapping into intrinsic motives will improve student engagement more so than extrinsic motivators such as grades, awards, or credentialing. If students’ only motivation is extrinsic, they are more likely to cram, not learn, to earn a grade. In *How Learning Works*, the authors maintain that if students’ subjective values and expectancies are met, they will deepen learning.

**Third,** relevant objectives and goals define the significance of what we are doing, which may increase student engagement and learning. Note that simply telling students why a topic or unit is essential, does not guarantee “buy in” any more than a patient follows a doctor’s advice, but it’s a start. Many of our students take an instrumental approach to their learning – they want to know how assignments and the course will benefit them. If we are teaching a general education course, then students may even see the course and its assignments as an obstacle to their career and thereby engage in resistance (evident by failure to submit work, absences, completing work hastily, etc.). Therefore, when we articulate our course objectives, goals, units, and daily lessons, compose in a language that is relatable and relevant.

**Finally,** not only should we make our content relevant, we should also be transparent about how to achieve our desired results. We should make “obvious the intellectual practices involved in completing and evaluating a learning task.” As experts we know what A-level work looks like, but can we articulate that to students? If you struggle with this, welcome to the “curse of expert knowledge.” Our goals should help students uncover our disciplinary ways of knowing. We cannot assume that students know how to read, write, research, etc. in our discipline. In making our goals transparent and by helping them to learn how to learn, we will create a more equitable classroom in which every student has the potential to thrive. And it all begins with writing SLOs that are student-centered. These SLOs must guide us in every decision we make about assessments, learning activities, and teaching strategies. Rule of thumb: if a student were to ask us why they must learn the content or skill, we should have a persuasive answer that begins with clearly developed SLOs.

---

Incorporate your SLOs into Teaching, not just your Syllabus

We have many ways to build SLOs into our teaching. To create coherency, it’s important to routinely use the language of the SLOs which might be broken down into more specific goals for daily class meetings. Here’s a short list of potential teaching techniques:

- Create a graphic syllabus that provides a “road map” on how SLOs will be achieved through learning activities and assessments.
- Regularly preview upcoming work and review previous lessons as a reminder of objectives or goals and how they relate to the desired results.
- Start class with a writing prompt, activity, or problem that sheds light on the significance of daily goals (a.k.a. bell ringers).
- Start a new topic or unit with prior knowledge survey or short quiz to provide focus on the goals and course outcomes.
- Pose a scenario or tell a story that creates dramatic interest or demands a solution then relate to the goals.
- Point out the creative, critical, or practical skills that are being developed which are promised in the SLOs and shed light on disciplinary ways of thinking (this is sometimes referred to as decoding the discipline or signature pedagogies).
- Use the words of your SLOs and goals in course assignments and feedback to students. For example, advancing plausible historical interpretations asks students to interpret evidence in its context and to corroborate, yet also requires inference when evidence is lacking. So, in preparing students for the essay, reading drafts, and grading, these terms (plausible, context, corroborate, inference) would be used to create a coherent experience that reflects disciplinary standards.
- Encourage students to ponder the stakeholders who might benefit from the mastery of any goal. Terry Doyle, in *Helping Students Learn* (2008) describes a strategy used by Dr. Cecil Queen, a Criminal Justice professor, “The purpose of the exercise is to help students discover reasons beyond a grade for deeply learning the course material presented. In this activity students are asked to identify other people or organizations who are stakeholders in their being successful learners of the new material. Dr. Queen then maps all of the major and minor stakeholders who are depending on his criminal justice students to become fully competent with the new material.”
- Make time in-class to discuss an upcoming assignment and how it will contribute to the learning outcomes.
- Make time to explain various aspects of your disciplinary ways of knowing when it’s appropriate to the content or class activity. For example, before my students write a historical essay, in the days leading up to the submission, we spend a few minutes of class time answering questions about the assignment, discussing the writing process, looking at examples, etc.
- End a topic or unit that compares their prior knowledge and perception to their current state of knowledge.
- Encourage students to make connections between earlier objectives and goals through review activities or low-stakes or no-stakes quizzing.
- Use or assign concept maps or flow charts that enable students to make connections during a unit or throughout the semester that align with the language of objectives and goals.
- At the end of class, use a writing prompt, e.g., minute paper, etc. that encourages students to reflect upon what they have learned and how it contributes to the course outcomes.
- Before each class ends, review goals for the next class meeting or assignment and relate them to the course outcomes. (I have my syllabus and calendar ready to share and relate.)

---

If the course of expertise leads you to struggle with making your goals relevant and transparent, many disciplinary organizations offer resources. In addition, consult your department’s master syllabus to explore the wording.

**Student Learning Objectives**

1. Should focus on students and what they will be able to do, value, think, etc.
2. Use **action verbs** (i.e., “observable and actionable language”) that accurately reflect the levels of aspiration found in taxonomies (see below)
3. Convey relevance

The key to crafting learning goals, objectives, and outcomes is to be neither too vague nor too specific. Linda Suskie illustrates with an example from information literacy: ⁵

**Too vague:** Students will demonstrate information literacy skills.

**Too specific:** Students will be able to use the college's online services to retrieve information.

**Better:** Students will locate information and evaluate it critically for its validity and appropriateness.

Student learning goals should explain *why* the outcome is important, and this is the advantage of Suskie's third example. For the course syllabus, a clause might be added on HOW students will be assessed.

Some phrases that are often considered too vague: "students will learn, know, understand, etc." These terms are "fuzzy" because they may carry several meanings. For example, to understand might mean that students will memorize, recall, or be able to use information; these are not synonymous cognitive skill levels.

**Taxonomies**

Countless taxonomies are available on the internet; many of these match action verbs, i.e. “observable and actionable language,” to learning activities, assignments, and/or assessments. The correlation of verbs to activities eases our ability to recognize if the action verb matches will achieve our intended level of difficulty. The most famous is Bloom's taxonomy (1956), which groups behavior into affective, psychomotor, and cognitive domains; the cognitive domain is the most well-known among these. The original levels of Bloom's taxonomy of the cognitive domain are knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. In 2001, L. W. Anderson and D. R. Krathwohl revised Bloom's taxonomy. The levels of their taxonomy are remember, understand, apply, analyze, evaluate, and create. The hierarchy found in either taxonomy is not meant to suggest that higher-order thinking must be delayed before mastery of factual knowledge is proven.

For a more thorough discussion with action verbs and a description of student learning activities, see EdPsychInteractive. Below, Table 1 draws together Bloom's taxonomy, the revision by Krathwohl and Anderson, and verbs for the digital age. Tables 2 and 3 provide taxonomies for the affective and

⁵ Suskie, Assessing Student Learning, 2nd ed., 130.
petshomotor domains. L. Dee Fink’s original Taxonomy of Significant Learning (Table 4) places the six
domains into a circle to emphasize their interdependence and depart from the implied hierarchy of
Bloom’s taxonomy – It is offered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Cognition</th>
<th>Action Verbs</th>
<th>Student Learning Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge (Bloom):</td>
<td>Define, describe, draw, identify, label, locate, memorize, name, recite, recognize, select, state, write</td>
<td>Information gathering Activities: A definition, a dictionary, events, films, magazine articles, newspapers, radio, recordings, television shows, text readings, video, podcasts, vodcasts, slidecasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remember (Krathwohl and Anderson Revision)</td>
<td><strong>Definition:</strong> To retrieve relevant knowledge from long-term memory. <strong>Verbs:</strong> Recognize; recall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Verbs for the Digital Age:</strong> Bullet point, highlight, bookmark, social network, social bookmark, search, google</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehension Level 1 (Bloom):</td>
<td>Change, match, confirm, express, illustrate, match, paraphrase, restate, transform</td>
<td>Confirming Information Gathering Activities: Analogy, causal relationship, conclusion or implication based on data, outline, summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehension Level 2 (Bloom):</td>
<td>Extend, distinguish, compare, infer, generalize, defend, explain, predict, relate</td>
<td>Confirming Use of Knowledge Activities: Cartoon, collage, diagram, drama, graph, photograph, poster, skit, speech, story, own statement, podcast, vodcast, slidecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understand (Krathwohl and Anderson Revision):</td>
<td><strong>Definition:</strong> To construct meaning from instructional message, including orial, written, and graphic communication. <strong>Verbs:</strong> Interpret (clarify, paraphrase, represent, translate); Exemplify (illustrate, instatiate); Classify (categorize, subsume); Summarzing (abstract, generalize); Infer (conclude, extrapolate, interpolate, predict); Compare (contrast, map, match); Explain (construct models)</td>
<td><strong>Verbs for the Digital Age:</strong> Advanced search, boolean search, blog journaling, tweet, categorise, comment, annotate, subscribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application (Bloom):</td>
<td>Apply, change, choose, classify, collect, discover, dramatize, draw, interpret, make, model, modify, paint, prepare, produce, report, show</td>
<td><strong>Making Use of Knowledge Activities:</strong> Creating a cartoon, drama, video, forecast, list, map, meeting, mobile, painting, paper, a project, puzzle, question, diagram, illustration, photograph, sculpture, solution, and shifting smoothly from one gear to another</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apply (Krathwohl and Anderson Revision):</td>
<td><strong>Definition:</strong> To carry out or use a procedure in a given situation <strong>Verbs:</strong> Execute; Implement (use)</td>
<td><strong>Verbs for the Digital Age:</strong> Run, load, play, operate, hack, upload, share, edit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

6 All lists for “Verbs for the Digital Age” originate from Andrew Churches, "Bloom’s Digital Taxonomy".
Analysis (Bloom): Analyze, categorize, classify, compare, construct, contrast, differentiate, distinguish, examine, infer, investigate, point out, research, select separate, subdivide, survey, take apart

Taking Apart Activities: Break down an argument, draw a conclusion, graph, identify parts of a propaganda statement, model, questionnaire, report, survey, syllogism

Analyze (Krathwohl and Anderson Revision):

Definition: To break material into its constituent parts and determine how the parts relate to one another and to an overall structure or purpose

Verbs: Differentiate (discriminate, distinguish, focus, select); Organize (finding coherence, integrate, outline, parse, structure); Attribute (deconstruct)

Verbs for the Digital Age: Mash, link, tag

Synthesis (Bloom): Add to, combine, construct, create, design, develop, formulate, hypothesize, invent, organize, originate, plan, produce, role-play, what if

Putting Together Activities: A play, article, book, cartoon, game, invention, poem, report, song, story, formulate a hypothesis or question, set of rules, principles, or standards, speculate on or plan an alternate course of action

Create (Krathwohl and Anderson Revision):

Definition: To put elements together to form a coherent or functional whole; reorganize elements into a new pattern or structure

Verbs: Generate (hypothesize); Plan (design); Produce (construct)

Verbs for the Digital Age: Program, film, animate, blog, video blog, mix/remix, create wikis, publish, videocast, podcast, direct, produce

Evaluation (Bloom): Apprise, assess, compare, consider, criticize, critique, judge, recommend, relate, solve, summarize, weigh

Judging the Outcome Activities: Comparison of standards, conclusion, court trial, editorial, establishment of standards, evaluation, group discussion, recommendation, self-evaluation, survey, valuing

Evaluate (Krathwohl and Anderson Revision):

Definition: To make judgments based on criteria and standards

Verbs: Check (coordinate, detect, monitor, test); Critique (judge)

Verbs for the Digital Age: Comment, review, post, moderate, collaborate a blog

Outcomes Assessment and the Affective Domain
The cognitive and psychomotor domains are easier to quantify if you are being asked to measure and report results, whereas providing direct measures of student learning in the affective domain may involve more qualitative data. At the highest level, how would you know if students internalized values? Though they may not be easily quantifiable, do not be discouraged from writing SLOs in the Affective Domain or assessing them. Asking our students to embrace our disciplinary standards and become life-long learners is at the heart of our vocation as teachers.

Table 2: Affective Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receiving Phenomena: Awareness, willingness to hear, selected attention</td>
<td>Ask, choose, describe, follow, give, hold, identify, locate, name, point to, select, sit, erect, reply, use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Responding to Phenomena: Active participation on the part of the learners. Attends and reacts to a particular phenomenon. Learning outcomes may emphasize compliance in responding, willingness to respond, or satisfaction in responding (motivation).

Valuing: The worth or value a person attaches to a particular object, phenomenon, or behavior. This ranges from simple acceptance to the more complex state of commitment. Valuing is based on the internalization of a set of specified values, while clues to these values are expressed in the learner's overt behavior and are often identifiable.

Organization: Organizes values into priorities by contrasting different values, resolving conflicts between them, and creating a unique value system. The emphasis is on comparing, relating, and synthesizing values.

Internalizing Values (characterization): Has a value system that controls their behavior. The behavior is pervasive, consistent, predictable, and most importantly, characteristics of the learner. Instructional objectives are concerned with the student's general patterns of adjustment (personal, social, emotional).

Table 3: Psychomotor Domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Verbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perception: The ability to use sensory cues to guide motor activity. This ranges from sensory stimulation, through cue selection, to translation.</td>
<td>Choose, describe, detect, differentiate, distinguish, identify, isolate, relate, select</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set: Readiness to act. It includes mental, physical, and emotional sets. These three sets are dispositions that predetermine a person's responses to different situations (sometimes called mindsets).</td>
<td>Begin, display, explain, move, proceed, react, show, state, volunteer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guided Response: This is the intermediate stage in learning a complex skill. Learned responses have become habitual and the movements can be performed with some confidence and proficiency.</td>
<td>Assemble, calibrate, construct, dismantle, display, fasten, fix, grind, heat, manipulate, measure, mend, mix, organize, sketch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Overt Response: The skillful performance of motor acts that involve complex movement patterns. Proficiency is indicated by a quick, accurate, and highly coordinate performance, requiring a minimum of energy. This category includes performing without hesitation, and automatic performance.</td>
<td>Assemble, calibrate, construct, dismantle, display, fasten, fix, grind, heat, manipulate, measure, mend, mix, organize, sketch (the verbs are identical to Guided Response, but would include adverbs or adjectives that indicate performance is quicker, better, more accurate, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation: Skills are well developed, and the individual can modify movement patterns to fit special requirements.</td>
<td>Adapt, alter, change, rearrange, reorganize, revise, vary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origination: Creating new movement patterns to fit a particular situation or specific problem. Learning</td>
<td>Arrange, build, combine, compose, construct, create, design, initiate, make, originate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
outcomes emphasize creativity based upon highly developed skills.

Table 4: Verbs for Significant Learning Matched with Learning Assessment Techniques

In L. Dee Fink’s taxonomy urges faculty to integrate all six domains into the design of a course to create significant learning experiences.7 Note that he avoids hierarchy and emphasizes the integrative nature of learning by placing six domains in a circle in which all points are shared in the center. This emphasizes the importance of all six being present to achieve significant learning experiences. In the outer part of the circle are Fink’s verbs combined with learning assessment techniques (LATs) identified by Elizabeth Barkley and Claire Howell Major that promise to achieve the outcomes. José Antonio Bowen and C. Edward Watson’s Teaching Naked Techniques: A Practical Guide to Designing Better Classes (2017) frequently reference Fink’s taxonomy.
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