Student Learning Outcome Program Report Rubric

Report Submitted by:
Program:
Evaluator Name:
Evaluation Date:

I. Results and Recommendations

	Exemplary	Acceptable	Developing	Comments
a. Summary Objective	Results are direct and provide information that is useful	Results do not present a complete picture	Results are missing or misrepresented	
b. Summary Presentation/Clarity	Results are professional, easy to read, and include appropriate charts/graphs	Results format is inconsistent or distracting	Results are difficult to read/understand	
c. Action	Report makes insightful connection between results and future steps	Future steps fail to address or are not related to results	Recommendations are not based on the assessment or are missing	
d. Showing the Results Related to SLO	Results were shared widely.	Results were shared.	Results need to be shared or it wasn't stated how they will be shared.	

II. Methodology and Limitation

	Exemplary	Acceptable	Developing	Comments
a. Description of Participants	Identifies how the participants/samples were obtained; reports sample size, response rate, and described the sample/participants by providing demographic information	Missing components from participants/sample description, but able to provide an acceptable amount of information about the participants/sample	The participant/sample description does not succeed in presenting an accurate picture of the participants/sample	
b. Data Collection Timeframe	Provides detailed information about timing and duration	Provides incomplete information	The report does not include this information	
c. Data Collection Methods	Provides detailed information about the assessment tools and methods used	Provides broad or vague information about the assessment tools and methods used	Reports little or no information about the assessment tools and methods used	
b. Limitations	Author clearly describes both the limitations stemming from the methodology, as well as critiques the limitations of the program/service	Author partially describes both the limitations stemming from the methodology, as well as attempts to critique the limitations of the program/service	Author does not address limits inherent in the methodology nor critiques the mitations of the program/services	

Part III APPENDIX

a. Tools	ed Yes the report	The report	The report does	
were	included this	included some of	not include this	
attacl	information.	this information.	information.	

Additional Comments: